

# IUSSP EXPERT GROUP MEETING

Assessing approaches to demand-side family planning measurement with a reproductive justice and rights lens  
March 5-7, 2024, Mombasa, Kenya



MEETING OBJECTIVES	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Convene researchers, program implementers, policymakers, advocates, and other civil society members to come together and examine measures and measurement approaches with a reproductive rights and justice lens.</li> <li>• Identify measures that can be used to assess family planning progress, opportunities, and gaps in a way that is reflective of individuals' self-identified needs and goals (i.e., person-centered)</li> <li>• Discuss ways forward to advance global family planning measurement</li> </ul>	

DAY 1 – TUESDAY, MARCH 5										
TIME	SESSION	FACILITATOR								
8:30-9:00	----- Arrival -----									
9:00-10:00 (60 minutes)	<p><b>1.1 Welcome and Introductions</b></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Welcome all participants, outline meeting objectives, and get to know one another a little</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Presenter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Opening Remarks from BMGF, USAID and IUSSP</td> <td>Jacob Adetunji Madeleine Short Fabic Mary Ellen Zuppan</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meeting objectives                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o IUSSP Panel</li> <li>o Participant self-reflection</li> </ul> </td> <td>Beth Sully Ilene Speizer</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Getting to know who's in the room                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Introductions</li> <li>o Ice breaker</li> </ul> </td> <td>Facilitated by: Madeleine Short Fabic Georgina Binstock</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Presenter	Opening Remarks from BMGF, USAID and IUSSP	Jacob Adetunji Madeleine Short Fabic Mary Ellen Zuppan	Meeting objectives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o IUSSP Panel</li> <li>o Participant self-reflection</li> </ul>	Beth Sully Ilene Speizer	Getting to know who's in the room <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Introductions</li> <li>o Ice breaker</li> </ul>	Facilitated by: Madeleine Short Fabic Georgina Binstock	Beth Sully & Ilene Speizer
	Presenter									
Opening Remarks from BMGF, USAID and IUSSP	Jacob Adetunji Madeleine Short Fabic Mary Ellen Zuppan									
Meeting objectives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o IUSSP Panel</li> <li>o Participant self-reflection</li> </ul>	Beth Sully Ilene Speizer									
Getting to know who's in the room <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Introductions</li> <li>o Ice breaker</li> </ul>	Facilitated by: Madeleine Short Fabic Georgina Binstock									
10:00-10:15 (15 minutes)	----- Coffee Break -----									
10:15-12:15 (120 minutes)	<p><b>1.2 Grounding frameworks and terminology for family planning measurement with a reproductive rights and justice perspective</b></p> <p><i>60 minute – Panel presentations</i> <i>60 minute – Group discussion</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Review and discuss key measurement frameworks and terminology to ground the EGM discussion.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Presenter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Human Rights-based Family Planning Framework: How can it Guide Measures of Demand?</td> <td>Karen Hardee</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Reproductive Justice in the global context</td> <td>Evelyne Opondo</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Presenter	Human Rights-based Family Planning Framework: How can it Guide Measures of Demand?	Karen Hardee	Reproductive Justice in the global context	Evelyne Opondo	Georgina Binstock		
	Presenter									
Human Rights-based Family Planning Framework: How can it Guide Measures of Demand?	Karen Hardee									
Reproductive Justice in the global context	Evelyne Opondo									

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Conceptualizing and measuring women's reproductive choice and agency using the Can-Act-Resist Framework</td> <td>Anita Raj</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Centering people's needs, values and preferences in reproductive health measurement</td> <td>Christine Dehlendorf</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Shared language and meaning: Measuring family planning-related needs and demands</td> <td>Madeleine Short-Fabic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overview of preliminary findings from systematic review on person-centered demand-side family planning measurement</td> <td>Ilene Speizer</td> </tr> </table>	Conceptualizing and measuring women's reproductive choice and agency using the Can-Act-Resist Framework	Anita Raj	Centering people's needs, values and preferences in reproductive health measurement	Christine Dehlendorf	Shared language and meaning: Measuring family planning-related needs and demands	Madeleine Short-Fabic	Overview of preliminary findings from systematic review on person-centered demand-side family planning measurement	Ilene Speizer			
Conceptualizing and measuring women's reproductive choice and agency using the Can-Act-Resist Framework	Anita Raj											
Centering people's needs, values and preferences in reproductive health measurement	Christine Dehlendorf											
Shared language and meaning: Measuring family planning-related needs and demands	Madeleine Short-Fabic											
Overview of preliminary findings from systematic review on person-centered demand-side family planning measurement	Ilene Speizer											
<b>12:15-13:30</b> (75 minutes)	<b>----- Lunch -----</b>											
<b>13:30-15:00</b> (90 minutes)	<p><b>1.3 Intention to use as an indicator of demand for family planning</b></p> <p><i>60-minute presentation</i> <i>30-minute group discussion</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Review and discuss new research on intention to use as an indicator of demand for family planning</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Paper presentations</th> <th>Presenter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Scoping review on Intention to use</td> <td>Victoria Boydell</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Among women who intend to use contraception, who fulfills and who doesn't?</td> <td>Simon Peter Kibira</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Association between intention, contraceptive use, and contraceptive self-efficacy in premarital relationships among adolescents in Rajasthan</td> <td>Francis Zavier</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Discussant</b></td> <td>Jacob Adetunji</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Paper presentations	Presenter	Scoping review on Intention to use	Victoria Boydell	Among women who intend to use contraception, who fulfills and who doesn't?	Simon Peter Kibira	Association between intention, contraceptive use, and contraceptive self-efficacy in premarital relationships among adolescents in Rajasthan	Francis Zavier	<b>Discussant</b>	Jacob Adetunji	<b>Ilene Speizer</b>
Paper presentations	Presenter											
Scoping review on Intention to use	Victoria Boydell											
Among women who intend to use contraception, who fulfills and who doesn't?	Simon Peter Kibira											
Association between intention, contraceptive use, and contraceptive self-efficacy in premarital relationships among adolescents in Rajasthan	Francis Zavier											
<b>Discussant</b>	Jacob Adetunji											
<b>15:00-15:15</b> (15 minutes)	<b>----- Coffee Break -----</b>											
<b>15:15-17:15</b> (120 minutes)	<p><b>1.4 Implications of intention to use for measurement and programming: Pragmatic and incremental improvement or unhelpful repurposing of an existing measure?</b></p> <p><i>20-minute Reflections</i> <i>50-minute break out groups</i> <i>50-minute report-back and large group discussion</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Group discussion and reflections on implications of and opportunities for using intention to use as a new measure of family planning demand</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Participant reflections on Intention to Use</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Jamaica Corker</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chelsey Porter Erlank</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Renu Golwalkar</td> </tr> <tr> <td>George Odwe</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Participant reflections on Intention to Use	Jamaica Corker	Chelsey Porter Erlank	Renu Golwalkar	George Odwe	<b>Jamaica Corker</b>					
Participant reflections on Intention to Use												
Jamaica Corker												
Chelsey Porter Erlank												
Renu Golwalkar												
George Odwe												
<b>17:15-17:45</b> (30 minutes)	<p><b>1.5 Day 1 Wrap Up</b></p> <p><u>Objective</u>: Reflections on Day 1 discussions</p>	<b>Yohannes Wado</b>										

DAY 2 – WEDNESDAY, MARCH 6																
TIME	SESSION	FACILITATOR														
8:00-8:30	<i>----- Arrival -----</i>															
8:30-9:00 (30 minutes)	<p><b>2.1 Welcome and Day 1 Recap</b></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Outline meeting objectives for day 2 and recap connections to Day 1 conversation.</p>	Irene Casique														
9:00-11:00 (120 minutes)	<p><b>2.2 Measurement of contraceptive method preferences</b></p> <p><i>75-minute presentation</i> <i>45-minute group discussion</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Review and discuss new research on the measurement of contraceptive preferences</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Paper presentations</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Presenter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>How do we measure contraceptive method preferences? Evidence from a scoping review</td> <td>Carolina Cardona</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meeting preferences for specific contraceptive methods: An overdue indicator of need for and quality of care</td> <td>Kristen Burke</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Discussant</b></td> <td>Lonkila Moussa Zan</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Are contraceptive method preferences stable? Measuring change in the preferred method among Kenyan women</td> <td>Peter Gichangi</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contraceptive method preference-use discordance in Kigoma, Tanzania: Results from a population-based survey of reproductive aged women</td> <td>Sarah Huber-Krum</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Discussant</b></td> <td>Moazzam Ali</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Paper presentations	Presenter	How do we measure contraceptive method preferences? Evidence from a scoping review	Carolina Cardona	Meeting preferences for specific contraceptive methods: An overdue indicator of need for and quality of care	Kristen Burke	<b>Discussant</b>	Lonkila Moussa Zan	Are contraceptive method preferences stable? Measuring change in the preferred method among Kenyan women	Peter Gichangi	Contraceptive method preference-use discordance in Kigoma, Tanzania: Results from a population-based survey of reproductive aged women	Sarah Huber-Krum	<b>Discussant</b>	Moazzam Ali	Niranjan Saggurti
Paper presentations	Presenter															
How do we measure contraceptive method preferences? Evidence from a scoping review	Carolina Cardona															
Meeting preferences for specific contraceptive methods: An overdue indicator of need for and quality of care	Kristen Burke															
<b>Discussant</b>	Lonkila Moussa Zan															
Are contraceptive method preferences stable? Measuring change in the preferred method among Kenyan women	Peter Gichangi															
Contraceptive method preference-use discordance in Kigoma, Tanzania: Results from a population-based survey of reproductive aged women	Sarah Huber-Krum															
<b>Discussant</b>	Moazzam Ali															
11:00-11:15 (15 minutes)	<i>----- Coffee Break -----</i>															
11:15-12:45 (90 minutes)	<p><b>2.3 Integrating method preference into new measures of family planning demand</b></p> <p><i>60-minute presentation</i> <i>30-minute group discussion</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Review and discuss new research on integrating method preference into new measures of family planning demand</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Paper presentations</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Presenter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Measuring Unmet Need for Contraception Using a Person-Centered Algorithm: An Application with a Community-Based Sample of Rohingya Women in Bangladesh</td> <td>Octavia Mulhern</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Preference-aligned fertility management: Assessing the feasibility of a new measure of contraceptive autonomy</td> <td>Claire Rothschild</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Paper presentations	Presenter	Measuring Unmet Need for Contraception Using a Person-Centered Algorithm: An Application with a Community-Based Sample of Rohingya Women in Bangladesh	Octavia Mulhern	Preference-aligned fertility management: Assessing the feasibility of a new measure of contraceptive autonomy	Claire Rothschild	Georgina Binstock								
Paper presentations	Presenter															
Measuring Unmet Need for Contraception Using a Person-Centered Algorithm: An Application with a Community-Based Sample of Rohingya Women in Bangladesh	Octavia Mulhern															
Preference-aligned fertility management: Assessing the feasibility of a new measure of contraceptive autonomy	Claire Rothschild															

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Validation of the Preference-Aligned Fertility Management Index in Uganda and Nigeria</td> <td>Kelsey Holt</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Discussant</b></td> <td>Mahesh Karra</td> </tr> </table>	Validation of the Preference-Aligned Fertility Management Index in Uganda and Nigeria	Kelsey Holt	<b>Discussant</b>	Mahesh Karra										
Validation of the Preference-Aligned Fertility Management Index in Uganda and Nigeria	Kelsey Holt														
<b>Discussant</b>	Mahesh Karra														
<b>12:45-13:30</b> (45 minutes)	<p>----- <b>Lunch</b> -----</p>														
<b>13:30-15:15</b> (105 minutes)	<p><b>2.4 New measures of demand, choice, and use</b></p> <p><i>75-minute presentation</i> <i>30-minute group discussion</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Review and discuss new research on other measures of family planning demand, choice and use.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="305 625 1162 1157"> <thead> <tr> <th>Paper presentations</th> <th>Presenter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Capturing the dynamic nature of choice: Development of a measure of contraceptive hesitancy in Cameroon and Kenya</td> <td>Lotus McDougal</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Revising the definition of “demand satisfied for family planning:” A cross-sectional study to explore incorporating person-centered constructs of demand, choice, and satisfaction</td> <td>Jewel Gausman</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Predicting unintended pregnancy rates through contraceptive information deprivation in Nigeria: evidence from the Nigeria demographic and health survey and Google Trends</td> <td>Tosin Oni</td> </tr> <tr> <td>How it was, and of course, how it should be: Moving toward a better measurement of contraceptive prevalence among unmarried women</td> <td>Apoorva Jadhav</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Discussant</b></td> <td>Nirali Chakraborty</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Paper presentations	Presenter	Capturing the dynamic nature of choice: Development of a measure of contraceptive hesitancy in Cameroon and Kenya	Lotus McDougal	Revising the definition of “demand satisfied for family planning:” A cross-sectional study to explore incorporating person-centered constructs of demand, choice, and satisfaction	Jewel Gausman	Predicting unintended pregnancy rates through contraceptive information deprivation in Nigeria: evidence from the Nigeria demographic and health survey and Google Trends	Tosin Oni	How it was, and of course, how it should be: Moving toward a better measurement of contraceptive prevalence among unmarried women	Apoorva Jadhav	<b>Discussant</b>	Nirali Chakraborty	<b>Abdoul-Moumo uni Nouhou</b>
Paper presentations	Presenter														
Capturing the dynamic nature of choice: Development of a measure of contraceptive hesitancy in Cameroon and Kenya	Lotus McDougal														
Revising the definition of “demand satisfied for family planning:” A cross-sectional study to explore incorporating person-centered constructs of demand, choice, and satisfaction	Jewel Gausman														
Predicting unintended pregnancy rates through contraceptive information deprivation in Nigeria: evidence from the Nigeria demographic and health survey and Google Trends	Tosin Oni														
How it was, and of course, how it should be: Moving toward a better measurement of contraceptive prevalence among unmarried women	Apoorva Jadhav														
<b>Discussant</b>	Nirali Chakraborty														
<b>15:15-16:30</b> (75 minutes)	<p style="text-align: center;">----- <b>Coffee Breakout Group Session</b> -----</p> <p><b>2.5 Discussion on data sources, new data insights &amp; measures, and other innovations</b></p> <p><i>15-minute Introduce breakout groups</i> <i>15-minute Get coffee and find tables</i> <i>45-minute Discussion (2 rotations)</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Discuss the role of different data sources in supporting family planning measurement innovations, new data insights and measures, and other innovations in the field of data</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="305 1692 1162 1881"> <thead> <tr> <th>Breakout group topics</th> <th>Facilitator</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Experience from PMA on piloting new measures using panel data</td> <td>Fred Makumbi</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Innovations in Demographic Health Survey (DHS)</td> <td>Kerry MacQuarrie</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New analyses and measures using DHS data</td> <td>Jeffrey Edmeades</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Breakout group topics	Facilitator	Experience from PMA on piloting new measures using panel data	Fred Makumbi	Innovations in Demographic Health Survey (DHS)	Kerry MacQuarrie	New analyses and measures using DHS data	Jeffrey Edmeades	<b>Clémentine Rossier &amp; Fred Makumbi</b>				
Breakout group topics	Facilitator														
Experience from PMA on piloting new measures using panel data	Fred Makumbi														
Innovations in Demographic Health Survey (DHS)	Kerry MacQuarrie														
New analyses and measures using DHS data	Jeffrey Edmeades														

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Modeling approaches to improve person-centeredness in measures</td> <td>Marita Zimmerman</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Real-time digital data insights - experience from Nivi</td> <td>Ben Bellows</td> </tr> </table>	Modeling approaches to improve person-centeredness in measures	Marita Zimmerman	Real-time digital data insights - experience from Nivi	Ben Bellows	
Modeling approaches to improve person-centeredness in measures	Marita Zimmerman					
Real-time digital data insights - experience from Nivi	Ben Bellows					
<b>16:40-17:00</b> (30 minutes)	<p><b>2.6 Reporting back from coffee table discussions and full group discussion</b></p> <p><i>20-minute facilitator report-back</i> <i>10-minute Wrap up</i></p> <p><u>Objective</u>: Share back coffee breakout groups and have a large group discussion around data sources to support the development and implementation of new measures of demand for family planning</p>	<b>Clémentine Rossier</b>				
<b>17:00-17:30</b> (30 minutes)	<p><b>2.7 Day 2 Recap</b></p> <p><u>Objective</u>: Recap Day 2 discussion</p>	<b>Francis Onyango</b>				
<b>18:30</b>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>----- Group Dinner at 6:30pm -----</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Transport to dinner will begin at 6:00pm. Dinner will be on the Tamarind Dhow, a dinner boat cruise that will take us around the harbor of Mombasa</i></p>					

### DAY 3 – THURSDAY, MARCH 7

<b>7:30-8:00</b>	<b>----- Arrival -----</b>													
<b>8:00-8:30</b> (30 minutes)	<p><b>3.1 Review Day 3 Agenda</b></p> <p><u>Objective</u>: Outline meeting objectives for day 3 and recap connections to Day 2 conversation</p>	<b>Abdoul-Moumo uni Nouhou</b>												
<b>8:30-10:15</b> (105 minutes)	<p><b>3.2 New research on contraceptive and reproductive autonomy and agency</b></p> <p><i>75-minute presentation</i> <i>30-minute discussion</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Review and discuss new research on reproductive autonomy and agency.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Paper presentations</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Presenter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Measuring women’s contraceptive decision-making and enabling legal frameworks - outcomes of a multi-stakeholder policy consultation</td> <td>Jennie Greaney</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Agency in Family Planning: A scoping review of the conceptualization and measurement of agency in low- and middle-income countries</td> <td>Francine Wood</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Family Planning Self-Efficacy as a measure of Reproductive Agency: Findings from Bihar, India</td> <td>Nandita Bhan</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Development and Validation of a Measure of Contraceptive Decision-making Agency in Nigeria and Uganda</td> <td>Sneha Challa</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Discussant</b></td> <td>Jay Silverman</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Paper presentations	Presenter	Measuring women’s contraceptive decision-making and enabling legal frameworks - outcomes of a multi-stakeholder policy consultation	Jennie Greaney	Agency in Family Planning: A scoping review of the conceptualization and measurement of agency in low- and middle-income countries	Francine Wood	Family Planning Self-Efficacy as a measure of Reproductive Agency: Findings from Bihar, India	Nandita Bhan	Development and Validation of a Measure of Contraceptive Decision-making Agency in Nigeria and Uganda	Sneha Challa	<b>Discussant</b>	Jay Silverman	<b>Irene Casique</b>
Paper presentations	Presenter													
Measuring women’s contraceptive decision-making and enabling legal frameworks - outcomes of a multi-stakeholder policy consultation	Jennie Greaney													
Agency in Family Planning: A scoping review of the conceptualization and measurement of agency in low- and middle-income countries	Francine Wood													
Family Planning Self-Efficacy as a measure of Reproductive Agency: Findings from Bihar, India	Nandita Bhan													
Development and Validation of a Measure of Contraceptive Decision-making Agency in Nigeria and Uganda	Sneha Challa													
<b>Discussant</b>	Jay Silverman													

<p><b>10:15-10:30</b> (15 minutes)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>----- Coffee Break -----</b></p>								
<p><b>10:30-11:30</b> (60 minutes)</p>	<p><b>3.3 Engaging data users in measurement innovation</b></p> <p><i>20-minute opening reflections; 5 minutes allotted to each panelist          40-minute facilitated discussion with panelists and full group</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: a) what “data use” means for you and in your context; and (b) what the challenges are for our field with respect to translating new indicators into <i>actionable</i> results.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="305 548 639 743"> <tr> <td><b>Presenter</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Binod Joshi</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Alyn Omondi</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Jacob Adentunji</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Renu Golwalkar</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Facilitator:</b> Win Brown</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Presenter</b>	Binod Joshi	Alyn Omondi	Jacob Adentunji	Renu Golwalkar	<b>Facilitator:</b> Win Brown	<p><b>Win Brown</b></p>	
<b>Presenter</b>									
Binod Joshi									
Alyn Omondi									
Jacob Adentunji									
Renu Golwalkar									
<b>Facilitator:</b> Win Brown									
<p><b>11:30-13:00</b> (90 minutes)</p>	<p><b>3.4 Preparing for a post-2030 measurement agenda</b></p> <p><i>45-minute fire side chat with panelists          45-minute group discussion</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Stepping back from specific indicators, reflect on what we want our post-2030 measurement agenda to focus on. Topics to explore include person-centered indicators, rights- and justice-based measurement, and the relationship between family planning measurement and reproductive agency indicators.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="305 1178 602 1409"> <tr> <td><b>Panelists</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mengjia Liang</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Kerry MacQuarrie</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Apoorva Jadhav</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Niranjan Saggurti</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Evelyne Opondo</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Moderator:</b> Beth Sully</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Panelists</b>	Mengjia Liang	Kerry MacQuarrie	Apoorva Jadhav	Niranjan Saggurti	Evelyne Opondo	<b>Moderator:</b> Beth Sully	<p><b>Mengjia Liang &amp; Beth Sully</b></p>
<b>Panelists</b>									
Mengjia Liang									
Kerry MacQuarrie									
Apoorva Jadhav									
Niranjan Saggurti									
Evelyne Opondo									
<b>Moderator:</b> Beth Sully									
<p><b>13:00-14:00</b> (60 minutes)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>----- Lunch -----</b></p>								
<p><b>14:00-15:15</b> (75 minutes)</p>	<p><b>3.5 Working group sessions to dive deeper into topics that have come up during the meeting</b></p> <p><i>75-minute participant-led small discussion groups</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Create space for participant-initiated small groups discussions of topics that we didn’t have sufficient time to discuss during the meeting</p> <p><b>Initial proposed topics:</b></p>	<p><b>Georgina Binstock</b></p>							

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The measurement of fertility intentions and its implications for family planning measurement</li> <li>• The role of qualitative data in advancing family planning measurement</li> <li>• What are the implications of our measurement discussion for family planning policy and programming?</li> <li>• What new measures will also help to advance the collection of disaggregated data to bring more attention on inequities?</li> <li>• How do we better incorporate couple- and community-level measures into person-centered measurement of family planning?</li> </ul>	
<b>15:15-15:30</b> (15 minutes)	<i>----- Coffee Break -----</i>	
<b>15:30 – 17:00</b> (90 mins)	<p><b>3.6 Reflecting back and moving forward</b></p> <p><i>20-minutes - Individual reflection</i>  <i>40-minutes - Small group discussion</i>  <i>30-minutes - Large group discussion</i></p> <p><u>Objective(s)</u>: Taking stock of where we are in the development of new measures and what are priority measures to try to expand the use of</p>	<b>Beth Sully</b>
<b>17:00-17:30</b> (30 minutes)	<b>3.7 Closing out the meeting</b>	<b>Ilene Speizer and Mary-Ellen Zuppan</b>
<b>17:30</b>	<i>----- Goodbye happy hour on the beach -----</i>	