Fertility Transition in Districts of India

Evidence from District Level Household and Facility Survey 2007-08

Aalok Ranjan Chaurasia
Professor

2013
'Shyam' Institute
www.shyaminstitute.in

Fertility Transition in Districts of India

Evidence from District Level Household and Facility Survey 2007-08

Aalok Ranjan Chaurasia
Professor
'Shyam' Institute

Abstract

Using the most recent information available through the District Level Household Survey 2006-07, the present paper analysis fertility transition at the district level on the basis of a fertility transition index. The analysis reveals that, in India, more than more than 54 per cent of the most recent births reported during DLHS 2007-08 were 'undesired' or 'excess' births which suggests that India is still to a long way in achieving the goal of population stabilisation as stipulated in the National Population Policy 2000. The analysis suggests that there are only 26 districts in the country which have reached an advanced stage of fertility transition while majority of the districts are either in the early or in the middle stages of fertility transition. The paper stresses the need of monitoring the implementation of fertility reduction and population stabilisation programme on the two dimensional space comprising of the dimension of birth planning and the dimension of birth limitation and argues that the fertility transition index may be used for the purpose. The paper also suggests that appropriate modifications may be made in the health management information system under the National Rural Health Mission, in this regard.

Key Words

India, fertility, fertility transition, fertility transition index, numerator-based indicators.

1 Introduction

Analysis of fertility transition at the district level is not a regular feature in India because there is no institutional mechanism that provides the information necessary to estimate fertility at the district level. The only source of information necessary for estimating fertility at district and below district level is the civil registration system. Although the registration of births in India is compulsory by the Registration of Birth and Death Act of 1967, yet, the gross under registration of births in the civil registration system is well known. At the national and state level, annual estimates of different indicators of fertility are generated through the sample registration system but at the district level and below the district level, no such system exists. As such, all district level estimates of fertility in India are prepared through the application of indirect techniques and use the information available through the population census which is conducted at an interval of 10 years (Government of India 1987; 1997, Mari Bhat 1996; Guilmoto and Rajan 2002). These estimates are generally available 5-7 years after the population census and, therefore, are of academic interest only. They are of very limited use in planning, implementing and monitoring and evaluating fertility reduction and population stabilisation programmes and activities. Similarly, the National Family Health Survey Programme, instituted in the early nineties, also does not provide district level estimates of fertility.

In order to improve the availability of population and health related information at the district level, the Government of India introduced the district level household survey under the Reproductive and Child Health Programme which was launched in the year 1996. The first district level household survey was conducted during the period 1998-99, the send during the period 2002-04 and the third, the latest one, during the period 2007-08. Although, these surveys have considerably improved the availability of population and health related information at the district level, yet they have not been designed to estimate fertility at the district level and, therefore, contribute little to district level analysis of transition in fertility to evaluate and measure the impact of fertility reduction and population stabilisation programmes and activities.

The need for analysing fertility transition at the district level also stems from the recent emphasis on decentralised district based approach towards population and development planning in the country. The National Population Policy 2000 as well as population policies of different states formulated around the year 2000 emphasise the need of a decentralised approach to address population and development related issues facing the people. Similarly, one of the goals of the National Rural Health Mission, launched in the year 2005, is the decentralisation of the public health care delivery system so as to effectively meet the diverse health and family welfare needs of the people. However, despite all emphasis on decentralisation, there have been little significant efforts towards evolving an information system that can meet the information needs of decentralised population and development planning and regularly monitor the transition in fertility at the district level.

In this paper, we develop a simple fertility transition index to analyse the transition in fertility at the district level on the basis of the information available from the District Level Household and Facility Survey (DLHS) 2007-08 (IIPS, 2010). The fertility transition index, developed and used in this paper, is based on the distribution of births reported during DLHS 2007-08 by the age of the woman and the order of the birth. Because of its simplicity, the fertility transition index developed and used in the present paper can be applied to measure and monitor fertility transition even a up to the village level by the grass roots level health and family welfare services providers and can be a part of the routine health and family welfare management information system.

The paper is organised as follows. The next section of the paper develops the fertility transition index and describes its rationale. The third section of the paper describes salient features of the data used in the analysis while the fourth section presents estimates of the fertility transition index and analyses transition in fertility at the district level as well as at the state and national level. The fifth section of the paper analyses the determinants of inter-district variation in the fertility transition index while the last section discusses policy and programme implications of the findings of the analysis. The appendix to the paper presents the estimates of fertility transition index for 601 districts of India for which information is available through DLHS 2007-08.

2 Measurement of Fertility

Fertility of a population can be measured in terms of either the intensity or the incidence of child bearing. The intensity of childbearing is measured in terms of exposure of a specific category of women to conception and child birth. Intensity is also termed as occurrence-exposure rate (Hoem and Hoem 1989) or the rate of the first kind (Calot 2002). Incidence, on the other hand, is measured in terms of exposure of all women in the age category. Incidence rates are also termed as frequencies or rates of the second kind or reduced rates. Intensity and incidence are however directly related and have relative advantages and disadvantages. The choice between the two depends upon their intrinsic properties and measurement issues. Fertility intensities are advocated on theoretical grounds. When they include all relevant dimensions of fertility, they can represent instantaneous probability that a woman in specific category gives birth (Hoem 1976). They are independent of the earlier child bearing behaviour of the mother. Incidence rates, on the other hand, does not reflect the risk of giving birth to any particular woman but have the additive property.

Estimation of the intensity or incidence of fertility requires information about occurrence of birth and population exposed to the risk of a birth. In India, estimates of the population exposed to the risk at the district and below district level is not available either through the civil registration system or through the statistics of the official family welfare programme and therefore estimation of the intensity or incidence of fertility is not possible. In such a situation, numerator analysis approach has been advocated for analysing patterns and transition in fertility(Ravenholt and Frederiksen 1968, Reynolds 1972, Chidambaram 1965, Balasubramanian 1972). Numerator analysis of fertility patterns and transition is based on the distribution of live births in a given period by the age and parity. The key concept in the numerator analysis is the concept of 'excess' or 'undesired' fertility. The 'excess' fertility is defined as the proportion of births falling in the 'excess' category. It may be defined either in terms of the age of the woman or in terms of parity or birth order. For example, Hamilton (1968) has defined "excess fertility" as all births to mothers under 15 or over 40 years of age, births above first parity for mothers aged 15-19 years, births above second parity for mothers 20-24 years, births above third parity for mothers 25-29 years, births above fourth parity for mothers 30-34 years, and births above fifth parity for mothers aged 35-39 years in the context of analysing fertility transition in United States of America. This approach is particularly important in the context of fertility transition as there are broadly two dimensions of fertility transition - the dimension of birth limitation and the dimension of birth planning. The dimension of birth planning is related to the increase in the age of woman at the first birth and proper spacing between successive births. This dimension of fertility transition is important in the context of population stabilisation. It is well known that even the replacement fertility (total fertility rate of 2.1) is achieved, the population continues to increase because of the in-built momentum in the population (Frejka 1982, Keyfitz 1971,

Merrick 1982). The effect of the population momentum can be minimised either by lowering average fertility of a woman further or by increasing the mean age at child bearing. Theoretically, the average fertility of a woman can be decreased to a level at which birth rate is equal to the death rate. This, however, implies that many couples will have only one child (Bongaarts 1994). At the same time, the dimension of birth planning is also important in the context of maternal and child health and survival. It is well known that it is the birth planning, not the birth limitation, which has the major impact on infant, child and maternal mortality as well as on the health of the health of the mother and the child. Obviously, transition in fertility should be measured and monitored in both the dimensions of fertility - the dimension of birth limitation and the dimension of birth planning. However, conventional measures of fertility such as the total fertility rate does not take into account the dimension of birth planning. The fertility index that we develop and use in this paper takes into consideration both the dimensions of fertility transition.

The total number of live births reported during a given year or any reference period may be distributed by the age of the woman and the order of the birth in the following manner:

Age of woman	Birth order		
	1-2	>=3	Total
15-19 years	B_{11}	B_{12}	$B_{1.}$
20-49 years	B_{21}	B_{22}	$B_{2.}$
Total	$B_{.1}$	$B_{.2}$	$B_{..}$

It is clear from the above table that

$$B_{..} = B_{11} + B_{12} + B_{21} + B_{22}$$

or

$$B_{21} = B_{..} - (B_{11} + B_{12} + B_{22})$$

$$B_{21} = B_{..} - ((B_{11}+B_{12}) + (B_{12}+B_{22}) - B_{12})$$

or

$$B_{21}/B_{..} = 1 - [(B_{1.}/B_{..}) + (B_{.2}/B_{..}) - (B_{12}/B_{..})]$$

or

$$b_{21} = 1 - b_{1.} + b_{.2} - b_{12} \qquad (1)$$

where

b_{21} = proportion of births to women aged 20-49 years and birth order less than 3.

$b_{1.}$ = proportion of births to women aged 15-19 years

$b_{.2}$ = proportion of 3rd and higher order births

b_{12} = proportion of 3rd and higher order births to women aged 15-19 years.

Equation (1) suggests that the proportion of births to women aged 20-49 years and birth order less than 3 (b_{21}) may be taken as an indicator of transition in fertility. When this proportion is equal to one, all births in a given year or during a reference period are 1st and 2nd order births and confined to women aged at least 20 years. Obviously, this proportion takes into consideration both the dimension of birth limitation, captured through the proportion of 1st and 2nd order births, and dimension of birth planning, captured through the proportion of births to women aged at least 20 years.

On the basis of the foregoing discussions, we define the fertility transition index (FTI) as

$$FTI = 1 - (b_{1.} + b_{.2}) \quad (2)$$

It is clear that when the proportion of births to women aged less than 20 years ($b_{1.}$) and the proportion of 3rd and higher order births ($b_{.2}$) are zero, FTI = 1. On the other hand when all births in a year or during a specific period are 3rd and higher order births confined to women less than 20 years of age, FTI = 0.

Notice that (2) can also be written as

$$FTI = 1 - (b_{11} + b_{12} + b_{.2}) \quad (3)$$

Here, the proportion of 1st and 2nd order births to women less than 20 years of age (b_{11}) captures the delay in the first birth and spacing between marriage and 1st birth as well as the spacing between 1st and 2nd births. The smaller is this proportion, the higher is the age at first birth and large is the birth interval. Similarly, the proportion of 3rd and higher order births to women aged less than 20 years of age captures the spacing between successive births. The smaller is this proportion the larger is the interval between successive births. Finally, the proportion of 3rd and higher order births captures birth limitation. In this way, the FTI defined by (3) or equivalently by (2) captures both the dimensions of fertility transition.

The FTI defined by equation (2) is based on two indicators - proportion of 3rd and higher order births and the proportion of births to women aged less than 20 years. The progression from second to third birth is argued to be a crucial component of fertility change (United Nations 1997) and a decline in fertility would be reflected by a decrease in the proportion of 3rd or higher order births. The reason is that in situations where women would tend to limit their family size, and higher order births would become more infrequent. These expectations have been borne out in a number of studies (Prasartakul et al. 1987, Srinivasan et al. 1992, Singh 2002). Singh has shown that the proportion of 3rd and higher order births is linearly related to the total fertility rate across the states of India.

On the other hand, proportion of births to women aged less than 20 years is relevant in view of the fact that fertility decline proceeds in two stages. The first stage of fertility decline is due to rising age at marriage and the age at first birth (Westoff 1992). The second stage involves the adoption of contraception and a change in fertility within marriage. It has been observed that the latter is the age at first birth, the lower is the fertility (Sivakumar, 2000) and a decreasing proportion of births to women aged less than 20 years may be taken as an indicator of the rising age at first birth. A decreasing proportion of births to women aged less than 20 years is also a reflection of increasing interval between births.

The fertility transition index (FTI) defined above is particularly useful in monitoring the impact of fertility regulation programmes and interventions (Bertrand, Magnani and Knowles, 1994). India's National Population Policy 2000 calls for both reducing the average number of children per woman by limiting births as well as delay in child bearing through the increase in the age at marriage and at first birth as well as through increase in the interval between births (Government of India 2000). The progress towards birth limitation is captured through the proportion of 3rd and higher order births while the progress towards the increase in the age at marriage and age at first birth and the increase in the birth interval is captured through the proportion of birth to women aged less than 20 years. Thus FTI takes into consideration the dimension of birth limitation as well as the dimension of birth planning.

There are many advantage of FTI defined above in measuring and monitoring fertility transition. First and the foremost, it takes into consideration both the dimensions of fertility transition - the dimension of birth planning and the dimension of birth limitation. This is important as programmes and activities directed towards fertility reduction and population stabilisation are directed towards both the dimension of birth limitation and the dimension of birth planning.

The second advantage of FTI is its simplicity and straightforward approach of calculation. FTI requires information about births during the reference period by the age of the woman and the order of the birth only. This information is routinely recorded in the health care delivery institutions so that FTI can be estimated at the local level by the grass root level health and family welfare services providers or even by the community. FTI can also be calculated on the basis of registered births even in situations where the registration of births is incomplete if it is assumed that there is no bias in reporting and registering of births by the age of the woman or the order of the birth.

The official fertility regulation efforts in India have traditionally been focussed on the dimension of birth limitation only. Transition in this dimension can be measured and monitored in terms of the proportion of 3rd and higher order births which has also been found to be directly related to the total fertility rate, the most popular indicator of fertility (Mari Bhat, 2004; Singh, 2002; Tyagi, 2002). The second dimension of fertility transition - the dimension of birth planning - always received a residual attention in the implementation of fertility reduction and population stabilisation efforts, although, the importance of birth planning has always been emphasised at the policy level. One indicator of a residual attention given to birth planning is that there has never been a sincere attempt to measure and monitor birth planning. The conventional approach of monitoring the performance of fertility regulation efforts does not take into consideration the birth planning dimension of fertility transition. In this context, the fertility transition index (FTI) defined above takes into consideration both the dimensions of fertility transition. The index can therefore be useful to population policy makers and family planning programme managers in monitoring the progress towards population stabilisation. In this context, the FTI is a better indicator for measuring and monitoring population stabilisation than the conventional indicator like the total fertility rate.

3. Data Source

We use information available through the latest district level household survey (DLHS 2007-08). DLHS 2007-08 was carried out throughout the country and covered around 0.7 million households in 601 districts to facilitate effective monitoring of health and family welfare programmes at the district level (IIPS, 2010). The focus of DLHS 2007-08 is to provide health care and utilization indicators at the district level for the enhancement of the activities under the National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) which was launched by the Government of India in 2005 and which aims at architectural corrections in the health care delivery system to effectively meet the health needs of the people (Government of India, 2005). The survey covered around 1000-1500 households in each district. The households surveyed were selected through a stratified random sampling procedure. The sample included, wherever possible, both rural and urban areas within the district.

During the DLHS 2007-08, information about all births during the period (1 January 2004 to the survey date) was collected from all currently married females in the reproductive age group included in the sample. The date of the survey varied from state to state and from district to districts but the entire field work in all states and all districts were carried out during the period 2007-08. For each reported birth during the survey, information about the age of the woman at the time of the birth and the order of birth was collected. This information constituted the basis for the present analysis. DLHS 2007-08 provides information about the age of woman at the time of birth and the birth order for 215962 most recent births which were reported during the survey. If a currently married woman in the reproductive age group reported more than one birth during the reference period of the survey, then information related to the most recent birth only has been used.

4 Fertility Transition in District of India

District level estimates of FTI are given in the appendix table and presented in figure 1. The distribution of the districts by the level of FTI and by state is given in table 1 and presented in figures 2 and 3. There are only 9 districts in the country with an FTI of more than 0.900 with district Pulwama of Jammu & Kashmir leading the list with an FTI of 0.959. Out of these 9 districts 6 are in Jammu & Kashmir, 2 in Kerala and 1 in Puducherry. In these districts, nearly all the most recent births reported during DLHS 2007-08 were 1st and 2nd order births to women aged 20 years. Fertility transition in these districts is almost complete.


By contrast, there are 6 districts where FTI is estimated to be less than 0.200 - 3 in Uttar Pradesh, 2 in Bihar and 1 in Haryana with district Budaun of Uttar Pradesh having the lowest FTI in the country - which indicates that there is hardly any transition in fertility in these districts. In addition, in 165 districts, FTI is estimated to range between 0.20 through 0.40 out of which 115 districts are located in only three states - Bihar, Uttar Pradesh and Jharkhand. These districts may be characterized at an early stage of fertility transition.

Out of the 172 (29 per cent) districts where FTI is estimated to be less than 0.40, 120 districts are located in only three states - Bihar (37), Jharkhand (16) and Uttar Pradesh (64). FTI is estimated to be less than 0.40 in all districts in Bihar, in all but a few districts in Uttar Pradesh and almost three-fourth districts in Jharkhand. On the other hand, in six states - Arunachal Pradesh, Delhi, Himachal Pradesh, Kerala, Punjab and Tamil Nadu - there is no district where FTI is estimated to be less than 0.40. In small states and Union Territories also, there is no district where FTI is estimated to be less than 0.400.

Finally, in 188 (31 per cent) districts, FTI is estimated to be at least 0.60 indicating that fertility transition has advanced in these districts. FTI is estimated to be 0.60 and above in all districts in Himachal Pradesh, in at least 90 per cent of districts in Kerala and Tamil Nadu and in 80 per cent in Punjab. In Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Meghalaya, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh, there is not a single district with an FTI of at least 0.60. In West Bengal, FTI is estimated to be at least 0.60 in only 10 per cent of the districts whereas in Haryana and Madhya Pradesh, this proportion is just 20 per cent.

In table 2, we have classified districts by the proportion of 3rd and higher order births and by the proportion of births to women aged less than 20 years for the country as a whole as well as for different states/Union Territories. There are only 13 districts in the country where births to women aged less than 20 years is less than 5 per cent while the proportion of 3rd and higher order births is less than 10 per cent. In these districts, more than at least 85 per cent of the most recent births reported at the DLHS 2007-08 were 1st and 2nd order births borne to women with at least 20 years of age. By contrast, in 17 districts of the country, the proportion of births to women aged less than 20 years is 20 per cent and more while the proportion of 3rd and higher order births is 40 per cent and more. In this districts, less than 40 per cent of the most recent births reported at the DLHS 2007-08 were 1st and 2nd order births borne to women with at least 20 years of age. The two groups of districts reflect the two extremes of fertility transition in the country.

Figure 1
Fertility Transition Index (FTI) in districts of India, 2007



An important observation of the present analysis is that inter-district variation in the two dimensions of fertility transition - transition in birth limitation and transition in birth planning - are not strongly correlated. The simple zero order correlation coefficient between the proportion of 3rd and higher order births and the proportion of births born to women aged 15-19 years is estimated to be 0.181 on the basis of the district level data while the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient is estimated to be 0.208. Although, these correlations have been found to be statistical significant, yet it is obvious that inter-district variation in the proportion of 3rd and higher order births is not the same as inter-district variation in the proportion of births to women aged 15-19 years. This implies that both the dimensions of fertility should be taken into consideration in any analysis of fertility transition.

Figure 2
Distribution of districts by FTI




Figure 3
State wise distribution of districts by the level of FTI






Figure 4
Proportion of 3rd and Higher order births in districts of India, 2007



It may also be seen from table 2 that there in 66 districts of the country, at least one fifth of the most recent births reported during DLHS 2007-08 were born to women aged less than 20 years. Most of these districts are located in Maharashtra, Andhra pradesh, Karnataka and West Bengal. In West Bengal, this proportion is estimated to be more than 20 per cent in 15 districts. Similarly, this proportion is estimated to be more than 20 per cent in 17 districts in Andhra Pradesh, 14 districts in Karnataka and 13 districts in Maharashtra. In these districts, reduction in the 3rd and higher order births appears to have resulted in a concentration of births in very young women. Because of the heavy concentration of births in women of very young age, the FTI in these districts is comparatively low despite the fact that the proportion of 3rd and higher order births is also very low. Fertility transition, in these districts is virtually confined to the dimension of birth limitation only.

Figure 5
Proportion of births to women aged less than 20 years in India, 2007



On the other hand, in 233 or almost 40 per cent districts of the country, 3rd and higher order births accounted for at least 40 per cent of the most recent births reported during DLHS 2007-08. Most of these districts are located in five states - Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh. Out of 177 districts in these five states, the proportion of 3rd and higher order births accounted for at least 40 per cent of the most recent births in 159 (almost 90 per cent) districts. In Bihar, the proportion of 3rd and higher order births accounted for at least 40 per cent of the most recent births in all the 37 districts; in 21 districts of Jharkhand and in 60 districts of Uttar Pradesh. At the same time, in six states of the country - Andhra Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, Delhi, Kerala, Punjab and Tamil Nadu - there is not a single district where the proportion of 3rd and higher order births is estimated to be 40 per cent and more of the most recent births.

5 Determinants of Inter-district Variation in Fertility Transition

Inter-district variation in FTI can be explained in terms of a model of the family building process through which women successively move from marriage to first birth, from first birth to second birth, and so on (United Nations, 1997). This model takes into consideration both dimension of birth planning and the dimension of birth limitation and therefore provides additional insight into the mechanism underlying fertility transition, including impact of fertility regulation efforts (Feeny, 1983). This model presents fertility transition in terms of its components - changes in the proportion of ever married women; changes in the female age at marriage; changes in the age at first birth; and changes in birth intervals primarily through the use of contraceptive methods. DLHS 2007-08 provides district level estimates of the proportion of females married before 18 years of age out of the females marrying during the reference period (MAR) and the prevalence rate terminal methods (TER), modern spacing methods (SPA) and traditional methods (TRA) of contraception. DLHS 2007-08 also provides district level estimates of female literacy rate (FLT) and proportion of households with low standard of living index (LSL). We use this information to explain inter-district variation in FTI by regressing FTI on MAR, TER, SPA, TRA, FLT and LSL. We employ the stepwise regression approach to find out that subset of determinants that best explains inter-district variation in FTI.

Results of the regression analysis are given in table 3 which suggest that inter-district variation in MAR, TER, LSL and FLT explain more than 61 per cent of the inter-district variation in FTI. Inter-district variation MAR alone accounts for more than 46 per cent of the inter-district variation in FLT. By contrast, LSL explains only about 9 per cent of this variation while TER and FLT, respectively, explained around 5 per cent and 2 per cent of the variation. Moreover, the regression coefficients of the four variables were found to be statistically significant and in expected direction. On the other hand, the regression coefficients of SPA - prevalence of modern spacing methods of contraception - and TRA - prevalence of traditional methods of contraception - have not been found to be statistically significant. Inter-district variation in these two variables accounts for an insignificant proportion of inter-district variation in FTI.

Results of the regression analysis again highlight the need to take into account birth planning in measuring and monitoring fertility transition so as to induce architectural corrections in fertility reduction efforts as outlined in the National Population Policy 2000. It is well known that the delay in the first birth and proper spacing between successive births significantly enhance the child survival probability and reduce maternal mortality in addition to health benefits to women. The mechanisms of these effects of birth planning are well known. These benefits, however, are not accrued through the use of terminal methods of family planning as these methods limit not space or delay births. From the perspective of the health rationale of family planning, it is imperative that due emphasis is given to birth planning along with birth limitation in efforts directed towards fertility reduction and population stabilisation. In order to ensure such a shift in the planning, implementation and monitoring and evaluation of fertility reduction and population stabilisation efforts, it is necessary that fertility transition is measured and monitored in the two dimensional space as shown in the present analysis.

6. Conclusions

The bleak scenario of fertility transition in India is reflected from the fact that almost 54 per cent of the most recent births reported during DLHS 2007-08 were 'excess' or 'undesired' births. More than 41 per cent of the most recent births reported during DLHS 2007-08 were 3rd and higher order births while more than 12 per cent births occurred in women aged less than 20 years. There are only a few districts in the country where an advanced stage of fertility transition appears to have been achieved while fertility transition is fairly advanced in only around 30 per cent of the districts. In rest of the districts, transition appears to be lagging either in one dimension or in both the dimensions of fertility. There are many districts which are quite advanced on the dimension of birth limitation but lag behind on the dimension of birth planning. Most of these districts are in Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra and West Bengal. On the other hand, there are a large number of districts which continue to be lag behind in the dimension of birth limitation. Most of these districts are located in Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and Jharkhand.

The analysis presented here highlights the importance of analysing fertility transition on a two dimensional space as the National Population Policy 2000 emphasises both limiting the number of births and increasing the age at first birth and interval between successive births. Transition in the dimension of birth planning is also important in the context of minimizing the impact of population momentum and health of women and children. A focus on birth planning in measuring and monitoring fertility transition is the need of the time as fertility is reaching the replacement level in an increasing number of districts and future population growth in these districts will be the result of population momentum only. The existing system of measuring and monitoring fertility transition need to be revamped in this context.

The fertility transition index (FTI) presented in this paper may constitute the basis for developing such a system. FTI has many advantages. First, it is not data intensive. It requires information only about the age of woman at birth and the order of the birth. Second, FTI is very easy to calculate so that it can be calculated even at the community level. FTI can also be calculated on the basis of registered births even in situations where the registration of births is incomplete if it is assumed that there is no bias in the reporting and registration of births by the age of woman or order of the birth. It can also be calculated on the basis of hospital records and records available with the health workers. It can be estimated right up to the village level and can be the basis for decentralised planning for population stabilization efforts as emphasized in the National Population Policy 2000.

Under the National Rural Health Mission, there are efforts to reinvigorate the health management information system. An important component of the health management information system is the reporting of live births. At present information about the sex of the new born is reported through the health management information system. It is suggested that information about the order of the birth and the age of the mother at birth should also be reported through the health management information system. This information is routinely recorded in the records of all health care delivery institutions. Reporting of this information will facilitate calculation of FTI right up to the village level.

References

Balasubramanian S (1972) An analysis of declining fertility in greater Bombay through birth order statistics. Paper presented at the All India Seminar on Family Planning Problems in India. Bombay, International Institute for Population Studies.

Bertrand JT, Magnani RJ, Rutenberg N (1994) Handbook of Indicators for Family Planning Program Evaluation. Chapel Hill, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Caroline Population Center. The Evaluation Project.

Bongaarts J (1994) Population policy options in the developing world. New York, The Population Council. Research Division Working Paper No. 59.

Calot G (2002) Demographic Techniques: Rates of the First and Second Kind. In NJ Smelser and PB Baltes (Eds), International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences. Elsevier.

Chaurasia Aalok Ranjan, Gulati SC (2008) India: The State of Population 2007. New Delhi, Oxford University Press.

Chidambaram VC (1965) Changes resulting from family planning programme in the indices based on birth order statistics. Paper submitted to the Seminar on New Approach to the use of Mathematical Models in Demographic Research. Bombay, Demographic Training and Research Centre.

Feeny G (1983) Population dynamics based on birth intervals and parity progression. Population Studies 37(1): 75-89.

Frejka T (1982) Momentum in JA Ross (ed) International Encyclopedia of Population, Vol 2. New York, Free Press.

Government of India (1987) Fertility in India based on 1981 Population Census. New Delhi, Registrar General and Census Commissioner.

Government of India (1996) Community Needs Assessment Approach. New Delhi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.

Government of India (1997) District Level Estimates of Fertility and Child Mortality for 1991 and their Inter Relations with other Variables. New Delhi, Registrar General, India. Occasional Paper No. 1 of 1997.

Government of India (2000) National Population Policy 2000. New Delhi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.

Government of India (2005) National Rural Health Mission: 2005-2012. New Delhi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.

Government of India (2009) Sample Registration System Annual Statistical Report 2008. New Delhi, Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, Office of the Registrar General, India. Report No. 1 of 2009.

Guilmoto CZ, Rajan SI (2002) District level estimates of fertility from India's 2001 census. Economic and Political Weekly: 665-672.

International Institute for Population Sciences (2010) District Level Household and Facility Survey (DLHS-3), 2007-08: India. Mumbai, International Institute for Population Sciences.

Hamilton CH (1968) The Need for Family Planning in North Carolina. The University of North Carolina News Letter 53, (September), 1968.

Hoem J (1976) Statistical theory of demographic rates: A review of current developments. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics, 3(4): 169-185.

Hoem B, Hoem J (1989) The impact of women's employment on second and third births in modern Sweden. Population Studies, 43(1): 47-67.

International Institute for Population Sciences (2010) District Level Household and Facility Survey (DLHS-3), 2007-08: India. Mumbai, International Institute for Population Sciences.

Keyfitz N (1971) On the momentum of population growth. Demography, 8(1): 71-80.

Mari Bhat PN (1996) Contours of fertility decline in India: A district level study based on the 1991 census. In K Srinivasan (ed) Population Policy and Reproductive Health. New Delhi, Hindustan Publishing Corporation.

Mari Bhat PN (2004) Some Indirect Techniques of Estimating Fertility and Contraceptive Use at District Level. New Delhi, Institute of Economic Growth.

Merrick TW (1986) World population in transition. Population Bulletin, 41(2).

Prasartakul P, Porapakkham Y, Sittitrai W(1987) Report on Birth Order Distribution as a Family Planning Programme Evaluation Indicator. Bangkok, Mahidol University, Institute for Population and Social Research.

Ravenholt RT, Frederiksen H (1968) Numerator analysis of fertility patterns. Public Health Report 83(6):449-457.

Ryder NB (1980) Components of temporal variations in American fertility. In RW Hirons (ed) Demographic Patterns in Developed Societies. London, Taylor and Francis.

Reynolds J (1972) Evaluation of family planning program performance : A critical review. Demography 9(1).

Singh P (2002) Trends in fertility mortality, nutrition and health indicators. Background paper prepared for the Planning Commission of India. http://planningcommission.nic.in/reports/genrep/bkpap2020/23_bg2020.pdf.

Sivakumar MN (2000) Age at first birth and fertility of women in Kerala: A cohort analysis. Man in India 80(3-4):237-287.

Srinivasan K (1988) Modernization, contraception and fertility change in India. International Family Planning Perspective 14(3):

Srinivasan K, Freymann J (1990) Need for reorientation of family planning programme strategies in developing countries. A case for birth-based approach. In K Srinivasan and KB Pathak (eds) Dynamics of Population and Family Welfare, 1989. Mumbai, Himalaya Publishing House.

Srinivasan K, Saxena PC, Pandey A ((1992) Birth Order and Birth Interval Statistics. Mumbai, International Institute for Population Sciences. [Unpublished]

Tyagi NK (2002) Methods of monitoring the goals of target free approach. Paper presented at the XXV Annual Conference of the Indian Association for the Study of Population, Mumbai.

United Nations (1983) Manual X: Indirect Techniques of Demographic Estimation. New York, United Nations.
United Nations (1997) Family Building and Family Planning Evaluation. New York, United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division.
Westoff CF (1992) Age at marriage, Age at First Birth and Fertility in Africa. Washington DC, The World Bank. World Bank Technical Paper No. 169.

Table 1

Distribution of districts by fertility transition index in states and Union Territories

State/Country	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)					
	Very low	Low	Average	High	Very high	Total
	<0.20	0.20-0.40	0.40-0.60	0.60-0.80	≥0.80	
Andhra Pradesh	0	1	12	10	0	23
Arunachal Pradesh	0	0	11	5	0	16
Assam	0	2	15	10	0	27
Bihar	2	35	0	0	0	37
Chhattisgarh	0	6	10	0	0	16
Delhi	0	0	3	6	0	9
Gujarat	0	1	15	9	0	25
Haryana	1	1	14	4	0	20
Himachal Pradesh	0	0	0	11	1	12
Jharkhand	0	16	6	0	0	22
Jammu & Kashmir	0	0	5	3	6	14
Karnataka	0	8	10	8	1	27
Kerala	0	0	1	5	8	14
Madhya Pradesh	0	5	31	9	0	45
Maharashtra	0	6	17	10	2	35
Manipur	0	1	4	4	0	9
Meghalaya	0	2	5	0	0	7
Mizoram	0	1	4	3	0	8
Orissa	0	3	18	9	0	30
Punjab	0	0	4	16	0	20
Rajasthan	0	9	23	0	0	32
Tamil Nadu	0	0	3	22	5	30
Uttar Pradesh	3	64	3	0	0	70
Uttarakhand	0	1	5	7	0	13
West Bengal	0	4	13	2	0	19
Small States & UTs	0	0	9	9	3	21
India	6	166	241	162	26	601
	1.00	27.62	40.10	26.96	4.33	100.00

Source: Author's calculations

Table 2

Distribution of districts by the proportion of 3rd and higher order births and the proportion of births to women aged less than 20 years in India and states.

Country/ State	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Births to women aged less than 20 years (Per cent)					
		<5	5-10	10-15	15-20	>=20	Total
India	<10	13	2	2	0	3	20
	10-20	12	18	6	7	10	53
	20-30	24	54	25	25	19	147
	30-40	19	42	50	21	17	149
	>=40	6	42	109	58	17	232
	Total	74	158	192	111	66	601
Andhra Pradesh	<10		0	0	0	2	2
	10-20		0	0	3	8	11
	20-30		1	1	0	6	8
	30-40		0	0	1	1	2
	>=40						
	Total		1	1	4	17	23
Arunachal Pradesh	<10						
	10-20	0	0	1			1
	20-30	0	2	0			2
	30-40	2	7	0			9
	>=40	2	0	2			4
	Total	4	9	3			16
Assam	<10						
	10-20		0	2	0		2
	20-30		4	3	0		7
	30-40		1	7	5		13
	>=40		0	4	1		5
	Total		5	16	6		27
Bihar	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30						
	30-40						
	>=40		2	18	16	1	37
	Total		2	18	16	1	37
Chhattisgarh	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30						
	30-40		0	2	2	0	4
	>=40		2	4	5	1	12
	Total		2	6	7	1	16

Country/ State	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Births to women aged less than 20 years (Per cent)					
		<5	5-10	10-15	15-20	>=20	Total
Delhi	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30	1	2				3
	30-40	2	4				6
	>=40						
	Total	3	6				9
Gujarat	<10						
	10-20	0	1	0			1
	20-30	1	7	2			10
	30-40	0	6	1			7
	>=40	0	1	6			7
	Total	1	15	9			25
Haryana	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30	1	2	1	1		5
	30-40	0	1	9	2		12
	>=40	0	0	2	1		3
	Total	1	3	12	4		20
Himachal Pradesh	<10						
	10-20	2	1				3
	20-30	3	2				5
	30-40	2	2				4
	>=40						
	Total	7	5				12
Jharkhand	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30						
	30-40		0	1	0		1
	>=40		2	7	12		21
	Total		2	8	12		22
Jammu & Kashmir	<10	6	0				6
	10-20						
	20-30	1	1				2
	30-40	1	2				3
	>=40	0	3				3
	Total	8	6				14
Karnataka	<10	0	0	0	0	1	1
	10-20	0	3	0	1	1	5
	20-30	2	1	2	3	1	9
	30-40	0	0	0	1	4	5
	>=40	0	0	0	0	7	7
	Total	2	4	2	5	14	27

Country/ State	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Births to women aged less than 20 years (Per cent)					
		<5	5-10	10-15	15-20	>=20	Total
Kerala	<10	5	0	0			5
	10-20	3	2	0			5
	20-30	0	2	0			2
	30-40	0	1	1			2
	>=40						
	Total	8	5	1			14
Meghalaya	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30						
	30-40		1	1			2
	>=40		3	2			5
	Total		4	3			7
Manipur	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30	2	0				2
	30-40	2	0				2
	>=40	1	4				5
	Total	5	4				9
Madhya Pradesh	<10						
	10-20	0	0	1	1	0	2
	20-30	0	1	5	5	0	11
	30-40	1	2	12	3	0	18
	>=40	0	2	9	2	1	14
	Total	1	5	27	11	1	45
Maharashtra	<10						
	10-20	2	2	0	0	0	4
	20-30	0	6	4	5	2	17
	30-40	0	0	2	1	8	11
	>=40	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Total	2	8	6	6	13	35
Mizoram	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30		1	2			3
	30-40		2	1			3
	>=40		1	1			2
	Total		4	4			8
Orissa	<10						
	10-20	1	1	0	0	0	2
	20-30	1	3	1	2	0	7
	30-40	2	6	4	1	1	14
	>=40	1	2	1	2	1	7
	Total	5	12	6	5	2	30

Country/ State	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Births to women aged less than 20 years (Per cent)					
		<5	5-10	10-15	15-20	>=20	Total
Punjab	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30	7	7				14
	30-40	1	5				6
	>=40						
	Total	8	12				20
Rajasthan	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30		0	0	5	0	5
	30-40		0	5	2	0	7
	>=40		4	10	5	1	20
	Total		4	15	12	1	32
Tamil Nadu	<10	1	2	1	0		4
	10-20	2	5	2	1		10
	20-30	2	8	2	2		14
	30-40	1	0	1	0		2
	>=40						
	Total	6	15	6	3		30
Uttar Pradesh	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30						
	30-40		0	1	0		1
	>=40		13	42	14		69
	Total		13	43	14		70
Uttarakhand	<10						
	10-20						
	20-30	2	2				4
	30-40	5	1				6
	>=40	0	3				3
	Total	7	6				13
West Bengal	<10						
	10-20			0	0	1	1
	20-30			1	2	9	12
	30-40			0	1	3	4
	>=40			0	0	2	2
	Total			1	3	15	19
Small States and Union Territories	<10	1	0	1	0	0	2
	10-20	2	3	0	1	0	6
	20-30	1	2	1	0	1	5
	30-40	0	1	2	2	0	5
	>=40	2	0	1	0	0	3
	Total	6	6	5	3	1	21

Source: Author's calculations

Table 3
Results of the regression analysis

Model	Variables in the model	B	SE(B)	Beta	't'	Sing	R^2
1	Constant	0.671	0.009		76.216	0.000	0.461
	MAR	-0.697	0.031	-0.680	-22.656	0.000	
2	Constant	0.765	0.012		64.777	0.000	0.549
	MAR	-0.465	0.036	-0.444	-12.722	0.000	
	LSL	-0.268	0.025	-0.380	-10.866	0.000	
3	Constant	0.649	0.018		37.036	0.000	0.598
	MAR	-0.434	0.034	-0.424	-12.805	0.000	
	LSL	-0.228	0.024	-0.323	-9.603	0.000	
	TER	0.244	0.029	0.233	8.547	0.000	
4	Constant	0.410	0.050		8.227	0.000	0.614
	MAR	-0.325	0.040	-0.317	-8.224	0.000	
	LSL	-0.160	0.027	-0.227	-5.985	0.000	
	TER	0.272	0.029	0.259	9.542	0.000	
	FLT	0.270	0.053	0.219	5.122	0.000	

Source Author's calculations

Appendix Table

Fertility Transition Index (FTI) in the districts of India, 2007-08

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
Andaman & Nicobar	Andamans	11.51	20.86	0.676
	Nicobars	6.98	14.42	0.786
Andhra Pradesh	Adilabad	18.58	36.15	0.453
	Anantapur	25.37	21.39	0.532
	Chittoor	22.35	17.06	0.606
	Cuddapah	23.66	22.32	0.540
	East Godavari	31.14	18.56	0.503
	Guntur	27.98	7.34	0.647
	Hyderabad	6.57	23.23	0.702
	Karimnagar	14.05	21.62	0.643
	Khammam	17.46	16.40	0.661
	Krishna	23.85	11.30	0.649
	Kurnool	20.47	23.15	0.564
	Mahbubnagar	25.00	35.39	0.396
	Medak	23.74	21.94	0.543
	Nalgonda	31.03	21.98	0.470
	Nellore	22.45	15.31	0.622
	Nizamabad	17.76	19.63	0.626
	Prakasam	30.00	18.42	0.516
	Rangareddi	15.53	17.80	0.667
	Srikakulam	32.37	14.98	0.527
	Visakhapatnam	24.42	26.74	0.488
	Vizianagaram	32.08	18.33	0.496
	Warangal	20.61	20.00	0.594
	West Godavari	20.71	9.47	0.698
Arunachal Pradesh	Anjaw	6.59	34.13	0.593
	Changlang	9.15	37.80	0.530
	Upper Dibang Valley	5.81	22.82	0.714
	East Kameng	10.73	48.07	0.412
	East Siang	7.47	32.78	0.598
	Kurung Kumey	4.73	31.08	0.642
	Lohit	8.70	32.92	0.584
	Lower Dibang Valley	4.06	45.02	0.509
	Lower Subansiri	6.35	39.68	0.540
	Papum Pare	10.36	44.22	0.454
	Tawang	2.46	37.70	0.598
	Tirap	11.76	14.71	0.735
	Upper Siang	3.72	47.52	0.488
	Upper Subansiri	9.04	36.75	0.542
	West Kameng	8.49	30.89	0.606
	West Siang	9.88	25.93	0.642

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
Assam	Barpeta	12.63	39.58	0.478
	Baska	13.06	22.04	0.649
	Bongaigaon	14.00	35.01	0.510
	Cachar	11.33	48.08	0.406
	Chirang	11.03	39.10	0.499
	Darrang	12.60	36.64	0.508
	Dhemaji	15.98	37.87	0.462
	Dhubri	18.10	34.91	0.470
	Dibrugarh	8.47	31.42	0.601
	Goalpara	13.55	38.21	0.482
	Golaghat	11.03	28.31	0.607
	Hailakandi	14.19	50.43	0.354
	Jorhat	13.82	25.33	0.609
	Kamrup	12.43	16.95	0.706
	Kamrup Metro	8.72	24.10	0.672
	Karbi Anglong	11.40	14.51	0.741
	Karimganj	16.59	55.30	0.281
	Kokrajhar	16.43	38.10	0.455
	Lakhimpur	15.22	30.21	0.546
	Marigaon	17.46	34.91	0.476
	Nagaon	12.53	40.87	0.466
	Nalbari	7.56	25.00	0.674
	North Cachar Hills	7.63	26.69	0.657
	Sibsagar	6.39	29.44	0.642
	Sonitpur	11.55	38.60	0.498
	Tinsukia	10.39	37.92	0.517
	Udalguri	10.45	41.79	0.478
Bihar	Araria	17.44	63.91	0.186
	Aurangabad	17.33	51.62	0.310
	Banka	20.57	54.70	0.247
	Begusarai	17.68	58.69	0.236
	Bhagalpur	14.86	60.53	0.246
	Bhojpur	19.37	55.54	0.251
	Buxar	14.84	57.42	0.277
	Darbhanga	14.93	57.56	0.275
	Gaya	19.20	56.59	0.242
	Gopalganj	13.19	54.40	0.324
	Jamui	16.77	55.34	0.279
	Jehanabad	16.08	54.27	0.296
	Kaimur Bhabua	12.39	60.77	0.268
	Katihar	13.66	59.20	0.271
	Khagaria	14.81	60.77	0.244
	Kishanganj	11.89	61.96	0.262

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Lakhisarai	14.79	55.79	0.294
	Madhepura	18.48	58.31	0.232
	Madhubani	14.67	56.93	0.284
	Munger	15.17	50.25	0.346
	Muzaffarpur	11.11	55.56	0.333
	Nalanda	18.41	55.78	0.258
	Nawada	12.20	58.01	0.298
	Pashchim Champaran	14.75	63.60	0.216
	Patna	19.13	48.09	0.328
	Purba Champaran	16.62	58.61	0.248
	Purnia	11.90	63.10	0.250
	Rohtas	15.56	55.39	0.290
	Saharsa	18.83	55.27	0.259
	Samastipur	14.64	60.95	0.244
	Saran	9.98	57.62	0.324
	Sheikhpura	13.33	58.37	0.283
	Sheohar	13.76	63.06	0.232
	Sitamarhi	18.84	62.79	0.184
	Siwan	9.17	52.44	0.384
	Supaul	12.11	58.00	0.299
	Vaishali	18.26	53.53	0.282
Chandigarh	Chandigarh	3.94	24.63	0.714
Chhattisgarh	Bastar	15.50	49.79	0.347
	Bilaspur	18.08	50.89	0.310
	Dantewada	11.11	50.00	0.389
	Dhamtari	14.02	33.64	0.523
	Durg	10.85	38.98	0.502
	Janjgir-Champa	14.25	45.25	0.405
	Jashpur	10.54	47.06	0.424
	Kanker	16.72	42.82	0.405
	Kawardha	21.29	52.93	0.258
	Korba	13.53	44.27	0.422
	Koriya	18.81	47.02	0.342
	Mahasamund	15.48	40.00	0.445
	Raigarh	8.22	41.78	0.500
	Raipur	18.14	37.75	0.441
	Rajnandgaon	9.83	42.70	0.475
	Surguja	17.53	50.65	0.318
Daman & Dieu	Daman	7.77	22.97	0.693
	Diu	3.51	40.64	0.558
Delhi	Central	3.49	27.51	0.690
	East	4.15	33.22	0.626
	New Delhi	5.24	32.66	0.621

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	North	3.20	37.60	0.592
	North East	5.25	37.65	0.571
	North West	8.33	35.33	0.563
	South	6.40	33.23	0.604
	South West	6.86	22.38	0.708
	West	7.26	29.44	0.633
Dadra & Nagar Haveli	Dadra Nagar Haveli	12.78	43.61	0.436
Goa	North Goa	3.93	17.47	0.786
	South Goa	6.15	17.88	0.760
Gujarat	Ahmadabad	5.78	22.67	0.716
	Amreli	6.99	38.24	0.548
	Anand	7.06	36.86	0.561
	Banas Kantha	11.02	41.21	0.478
	Bharuch	9.12	25.55	0.653
	Bhavnagar	7.82	32.90	0.593
	Dohad	14.99	58.93	0.261
	Gandhinagar	7.25	28.99	0.638
	Jamnagar	6.08	28.90	0.650
	Junagarh	5.99	34.15	0.599
	Kachchh	9.73	45.90	0.444
	Kheda	7.95	25.76	0.663
	Mahesana	8.64	32.92	0.584
	Narmada	11.08	40.82	0.481
	Navsari	4.37	22.82	0.728
	Panch Mahals	9.49	39.24	0.513
	Patan	10.88	44.90	0.442
	Porbandar	7.92	30.00	0.621
	Rajkot	5.77	27.69	0.665
	Sabar Kantha	11.29	40.75	0.480
	Surat	9.43	16.80	0.738
	Surendranagar	13.06	38.83	0.481
	The dangs	13.69	42.03	0.443
	Vadodara	12.04	28.83	0.591
	Valsad	11.62	29.93	0.585
Haryana	Ambala	4.26	26.74	0.690
	Bhiwani	13.86	37.65	0.485
	Faridabad	16.36	45.91	0.377
	Fatehabad	12.50	32.14	0.554
	Gurgaon	10.80	38.85	0.503
	Hisar	16.67	35.07	0.483
	Jhajjar	13.47	32.32	0.542
	Jind	11.18	37.70	0.511
	Kaithal	10.43	33.33	0.562

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Karnal	10.15	30.75	0.591
	Kurukshetra	7.27	29.07	0.637
	Mahendragarh	15.44	28.07	0.565
	Mewat	14.06	67.79	0.181
	Panchkula	7.41	26.60	0.660
	Panipat	12.92	43.54	0.435
	Rewari	11.45	29.29	0.593
	Rohtak	10.65	33.55	0.558
	Sirsa	11.23	30.80	0.580
	Sonipat	16.77	32.34	0.509
	Yamunanagar	8.36	30.77	0.609
Himachal Pradesh	Bilaspur	3.86	23.55	0.726
	Chamba	5.07	33.45	0.615
	Hamirpur	0.65	16.13	0.832
	Kangra	0.96	23.92	0.751
	Kinnaur	2.80	32.87	0.643
	Kullu	6.00	22.00	0.720
	Lahul Spiti	1.92	33.33	0.647
	Mandi	6.82	17.05	0.761
	Shimla	5.71	31.43	0.629
	Sirmaur	5.65	29.03	0.653
	Solan	2.53	27.00	0.705
	Una	1.91	18.70	0.794
Jharkhand	Bokaro	17.76	44.16	0.381
	Chatra	16.00	55.84	0.282
	Deoghar	14.79	47.69	0.375
	Dhanbad	18.21	40.75	0.410
	Dumka	16.76	40.52	0.427
	Garhwa	15.76	58.33	0.259
	Giridih	17.48	45.85	0.367
	Godda	17.97	46.10	0.359
	Gumla	9.73	59.29	0.310
	Hazaribagh	17.13	45.37	0.375
	Jamtara	17.57	41.65	0.408
	Kodarma	17.23	55.77	0.270
	Latehar	12.11	59.40	0.285
	Lohardaga	12.95	55.41	0.316
	Pakaur	16.13	54.84	0.290
	Palamu	13.31	56.28	0.304
	Pashchimi Singhbhum	10.53	51.50	0.380
	Purbi Singhbhum	10.42	30.50	0.591
	Ranchi	14.15	42.14	0.437
	Sahibganj	18.73	51.93	0.293

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Seraikela	13.07	42.96	0.440
	Simdega	7.95	56.56	0.355
Jammu & Kashmir	Anantanag	3.35	1.78	0.949
	Badgam	2.59	2.59	0.948
	Baramula	2.42	1.88	0.957
	Doda	6.16	40.34	0.535
	Jammu	5.24	22.58	0.722
	Kargil	2.86	3.39	0.938
	Kathua	3.02	24.77	0.722
	Kupwara	5.47	43.21	0.513
	Leh Ladakh	2.93	33.89	0.632
	Pulwama	2.30	1.79	0.959
	Punch	9.00	50.48	0.405
	Rajauri	8.33	36.46	0.552
	Srinagar	1.63	2.61	0.958
	Udhampur	7.62	35.48	0.569
Karnataka	Bagalkot	27.35	45.01	0.276
	Bangalore	6.93	10.89	0.822
	Bangalore Rural	15.68	14.41	0.699
	Belgaum	18.98	31.53	0.495
	Bellary	21.74	36.34	0.419
	Bidar	24.62	37.24	0.381
	Bijapur	29.43	47.15	0.234
	Chamarajanagar	24.65	18.14	0.572
	Chikmagalur	9.09	15.79	0.751
	Chitradurga	24.26	22.43	0.533
	Dakshina Kannada	6.51	27.74	0.658
	Davanagere	22.07	32.76	0.452
	Dharwad	21.18	35.00	0.438
	Gadag	23.23	41.08	0.357
	Gulbarga	28.81	46.60	0.246
	Hassan	13.88	20.10	0.660
	Haveri	26.35	40.07	0.336
	Kodagu	8.30	17.90	0.738
	Kolar	17.87	29.28	0.529
	Koppal	33.77	46.19	0.200
	Mandya	21.60	7.51	0.709
	Mysore	19.66	21.37	0.590
	Raichur	27.21	43.26	0.295
	Shimoga	14.63	28.05	0.573
	Tumkur	18.18	25.97	0.558
	Udupi	3.13	21.43	0.754
	Uttara Kannada	4.57	28.31	0.671

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
Kerala	Alappuzha	2.49	6.97	0.905
	Ernakulam	3.76	9.68	0.866
	Idukki	4.46	6.93	0.886
	Kannur	4.63	10.68	0.847
	Kasaragod	6.04	30.20	0.638
	Kollam	2.76	7.83	0.894
	Kottayam	2.34	15.42	0.822
	Kozhikode	8.79	22.34	0.689
	Malappuram	10.79	34.99	0.542
	Palakkad	7.87	18.50	0.736
	Pathanamthitta	1.17	7.02	0.918
	Thiruvananthapuram	3.65	10.94	0.854
	Thrissur	9.45	11.44	0.791
	Wayanad	9.82	22.46	0.677
Lakshadweep	Lakshadweep	1.69	42.16	0.561
Meghalaya	East Garo Hills	10.44	46.52	0.430
	East Khasi Hills	6.78	39.45	0.538
	Jaintia Hills	9.94	45.13	0.449
	Ri Bhoi	10.10	38.22	0.517
	South Garo Hills	8.48	66.96	0.246
	West Garo Hills	7.44	60.79	0.318
	West Khasi Hills	10.16	46.78	0.431
Manipur	Bishnupur	3.61	34.02	0.624
	Chandel	6.77	48.18	0.451
	Churachandpur	5.46	51.54	0.430
	Imphal East	3.64	28.64	0.677
	Imphal West	1.14	28.57	0.703
	Senapati	6.99	50.82	0.422
	Tamenglong	5.84	55.25	0.389
	Thoubal	2.95	36.61	0.604
	Ukhrul	3.44	54.76	0.418
Madhya Pradesh	Balaghat	2.87	31.15	0.660
	Barwani	10.39	47.10	0.425
	Betul	8.52	41.64	0.498
	Bhind	15.02	34.04	0.509
	Bhopal	6.09	41.94	0.520
	Chhatarpur	11.81	39.70	0.485
	Chhindwara	9.28	26.65	0.641
	Damoh	14.45	38.05	0.475
	Datia	16.39	28.74	0.549
	Dewas	14.85	36.41	0.487
	Dhar	20.00	51.17	0.288
	Dindori	11.80	36.96	0.512

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	East Nimar	12.92	39.48	0.476
	Guna	12.24	16.00	0.718
	Gwalior	14.29	20.63	0.651
	Harda	11.64	45.60	0.428
	Hoshangabad	13.38	45.77	0.408
	Indore	17.18	23.28	0.595
	Jabalpur	9.16	30.68	0.602
	Jhabua	18.04	59.15	0.228
	Katni	9.24	33.89	0.569
	Mandla	14.67	33.00	0.523
	Mandsaur	11.97	24.92	0.631
	Morena	19.05	27.08	0.539
	Narsimhapur	19.41	36.26	0.443
	Neemuch	10.79	33.61	0.556
	Panna	11.00	38.00	0.510
	Raisen	14.32	50.78	0.349
	Rajgarh	12.75	20.40	0.669
	Ratlam	11.54	40.17	0.483
	Rewa	16.20	29.81	0.540
	Sagar	11.60	37.35	0.510
	Satna	10.24	44.74	0.450
	Sehore	12.65	49.64	0.377
	Seoni	12.50	28.47	0.590
	Shahdol	13.68	30.53	0.558
	Shajapur	17.30	36.33	0.464
	Sheopur	12.96	44.97	0.421
	Shivpuri	15.63	14.51	0.699
	Sidhi	12.05	45.89	0.421
	Tikamgarh	18.28	25.38	0.563
	Ujjain	12.26	38.70	0.490
	Umaria	10.78	39.87	0.494
	Vidisha	12.45	20.39	0.672
	West Nimar	23.36	53.93	0.227
Maharashtra	Ahmadnagar	18.15	28.83	0.530
	Akola	10.73	29.76	0.595
	Amravati	11.88	31.80	0.563
	Aurangabad	26.20	39.04	0.348
	Bhandara	4.28	17.12	0.786
	Bid	26.02	37.13	0.368
	Buldana	22.48	28.19	0.493
	Chandrapur	5.88	13.03	0.811
	Dhule	19.10	35.82	0.451
	Gadchiroli	13.74	34.25	0.520

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Gondiya	5.66	25.66	0.687
	Hingoli	30.19	40.43	0.294
	Jalgaon	21.55	42.09	0.364
	Jalna	26.80	32.04	0.412
	Kolhapur	10.49	24.72	0.648
	Latur	26.28	33.42	0.403
	Mumbai	7.20	29.24	0.636
	Mumbai Suburban	9.75	26.27	0.640
	Nagpur	5.91	21.67	0.724
	Nanded	22.74	34.27	0.430
	Nandurbar	20.26	43.90	0.358
	Nashik	19.60	29.57	0.508
	Osmanabad	24.84	30.50	0.447
	Parbhani	26.08	38.71	0.352
	Pune	16.67	23.58	0.598
	Raigarh	6.60	27.92	0.655
	Ratnagiri	5.21	24.17	0.706
	Sangli	16.81	25.86	0.573
	Satara	12.45	20.75	0.668
	Sindhudurg	2.14	16.58	0.813
	Solapur	25.18	30.58	0.442
	Thane	11.99	29.79	0.582
	Wardha	5.83	16.67	0.775
	Washim	23.34	29.39	0.473
	Yavatmal	19.14	27.22	0.536
Mizoram	Aizawl	7.32	30.31	0.624
	Champhai	10.12	27.18	0.627
	Kolasib	9.46	38.65	0.519
	Lawngtlai	14.83	47.32	0.379
	Lunglei	8.14	47.77	0.441
	Mamit	11.88	39.67	0.485
	Saiha	10.48	29.75	0.598
	Serchhip	6.20	28.68	0.651
Orissa	Anugul	9.15	30.17	0.607
	Balangir	3.45	48.28	0.483
	Baleshwar	9.27	30.73	0.600
	Bargarh	10.15	33.46	0.564
	Baudh	8.41	38.63	0.530
	Bhadrak	2.85	30.38	0.668
	Cuttack	8.05	23.75	0.682
	Debagarh	11.15	29.00	0.599
	Dhenkanal	12.15	34.03	0.538
	Gajapati	15.05	54.30	0.306

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Ganjam	15.95	27.30	0.567
	Jagatsinghapur	3.83	19.14	0.770
	Jajapur	4.29	24.49	0.712
	Jharsuguda	6.40	33.60	0.600
	Kalahandi	5.99	50.23	0.438
	Kandhamal	8.08	40.07	0.519
	Kendrapara	4.15	30.03	0.658
	Kendujhar	14.79	32.30	0.529
	Khordha	7.36	13.57	0.791
	Koraput	21.79	37.43	0.408
	Malkangiri	19.41	54.12	0.265
	Mayurbhanj	15.33	33.33	0.513
	Nabarangapur	22.15	49.54	0.283
	Nayagarh	18.15	23.33	0.585
	Nuapada	8.09	33.09	0.588
	Puri	5.73	24.37	0.699
	Rayagada	13.41	43.73	0.429
	Sambalpur	6.15	25.82	0.680
	Sonapur	12.13	32.46	0.554
	Sundargarh	9.68	37.10	0.532
Puduchery	Karaikal	4.18	12.55	0.833
	Mahe	2.71	2.71	0.946
	Puducherry	6.31	14.41	0.793
	Yanam	10.16	9.09	0.807
Punjab	Amritsar	8.33	32.64	0.590
	Barnala	9.00	28.62	0.624
	Bathinda	8.33	23.61	0.681
	Faridkot	8.66	29.53	0.618
	Fatehgarh Sahib	4.23	21.48	0.743
	Firozpur	8.33	30.56	0.611
	Gurdaspur	4.96	27.10	0.679
	Hoshiarpur	2.23	21.34	0.764
	Jalandhar	2.89	31.05	0.661
	Kapurthala	3.45	27.59	0.690
	Ludhiana	6.36	27.54	0.661
	Mansa	8.67	26.33	0.650
	Moga	6.56	34.75	0.587
	Muktsar	7.37	35.44	0.572
	Nawanshahr	3.83	28.74	0.674
	Patiala	6.61	29.57	0.638
	Nupnagar	2.44	24.04	0.735
	Sangrur	8.68	21.56	0.698
	SAS Nagar Mohali	4.40	25.16	0.704

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Tarn Taran	7.41	33.70	0.589
Rajasthan	Ajmer	10.61	45.66	0.437
	Alwar	15.20	38.67	0.461
	Banswara	17.58	51.56	0.309
	Baran	14.22	44.02	0.418
	Barmer	6.32	56.84	0.368
	Bharatpur	17.96	38.37	0.437
	Bhilwara	18.16	47.43	0.344
	Bikaner	17.03	41.08	0.419
	Bundi	11.97	35.90	0.521
	Chittaurgarh	13.99	31.20	0.548
	Churu	14.72	43.15	0.421
	Dausa	16.09	45.71	0.382
	Dhaulpur	13.52	59.43	0.270
	Dungarpur	10.81	46.55	0.426
	Ganganagar	15.61	29.96	0.544
	Hamumangarh	15.25	27.68	0.571
	Jaipur	20.30	41.58	0.381
	Jaisalmer	14.70	48.33	0.370
	Jalore	8.76	47.41	0.438
	Jhalawar	19.41	27.13	0.535
	Jhunjhunun	15.17	28.28	0.566
	Jodhpur	12.33	43.49	0.442
	Karauli	16.73	50.37	0.329
	Kota	15.22	27.46	0.573
	Nagaur	13.62	39.29	0.471
	Pali	9.63	50.42	0.399
	Rajsamand	11.56	44.09	0.444
	Sawai Madhopur	14.65	34.78	0.506
	Sikar	13.30	35.70	0.510
	Sirohi	6.54	49.49	0.440
	Tonk	12.89	42.63	0.445
	Udaipur	11.63	44.65	0.437
Sikkim	East	9.87	28.34	0.618
	North	9.55	37.44	0.530
	South	12.96	34.49	0.525
	West	13.79	37.93	0.483
Tamil Nadu	Ariyalur	12.67	25.79	0.615
	Chennai	5.88	10.78	0.833
	Coimbatore	8.29	7.80	0.839
	Cuddalore	5.45	25.91	0.686
	Dharmapuri	18.69	25.70	0.556
	Dindigul	11.67	20.00	0.683

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Erode	10.37	5.49	0.841
	Kancheepuram	9.24	13.87	0.769
	Kanniyakumari	4.25	7.08	0.887
	Karur	9.63	23.53	0.668
	Krishnagiri	18.96	27.01	0.540
	Madurai	6.64	19.47	0.739
	Nagapattinam	7.05	24.90	0.680
	Namakkal	9.30	9.88	0.808
	Nilgiris	11.06	14.04	0.749
	Pudukottai	3.68	23.53	0.728
	Ramanathpuram	3.69	21.72	0.746
	Salem	18.39	13.90	0.677
	Sivganga	4.55	15.91	0.795
	Thanjavur	5.65	23.04	0.713
	Theni	13.14	20.57	0.663
	Thirunelveli	6.80	22.33	0.709
	Thiruvallur	6.97	15.98	0.770
	Thiruvarur	7.23	22.49	0.703
	Thoothukudi	2.45	18.14	0.794
	Tiruvannamalai	8.29	25.37	0.663
	Trichy	8.25	28.16	0.636
	Vellore	10.83	30.32	0.588
	Viluppuram	4.88	30.89	0.642
	Virudhunagar	8.09	19.08	0.728
Tripura	Dhalai	17.51	34.81	0.477
	North Tripura	17.18	38.65	0.442
	South Tripura	21.39	21.13	0.575
	West Tripura	17.17	19.58	0.633
Uttar Pradesh	Agra	14.73	55.56	0.297
	Aligarh	14.54	56.78	0.287
	Allahabad	14.85	56.62	0.285
	Ambedaker Nagar	9.31	55.85	0.348
	Auraiya	15.38	58.02	0.266
	Azamgarh	8.82	51.73	0.394
	Baghpat	12.65	54.42	0.329
	Bahraich	12.80	67.99	0.192
	Ballia	8.73	56.34	0.349
	Balrampur	10.16	66.62	0.232
	Banda	13.46	60.00	0.265
	Barabanki	11.55	63.87	0.246
	Bareilly	12.10	63.00	0.249
	Basti	9.84	57.56	0.326
	Bijnor	8.65	61.54	0.298

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Budaun	15.90	67.56	0.165
	Bulandshahar	13.47	54.11	0.324
	Chandauli	11.11	56.03	0.329
	Chitrakoot	11.52	64.21	0.243
	Deoria	12.34	50.38	0.373
	Etah	18.20	63.26	0.185
	Etawah	19.88	55.58	0.245
	Faizabad	11.01	57.14	0.319
	Farrukhabad	13.82	63.28	0.229
	Fatehpur	13.10	60.89	0.260
	Firozabad	12.58	57.06	0.304
	Gautam Buddha Nagar	12.80	57.73	0.295
	Ghaziabad	11.54	53.04	0.354
	Ghazipur	14.44	56.51	0.291
	Gonda	10.06	64.41	0.255
	Gorakhpur	13.29	46.24	0.405
	Hamirpur	12.68	51.41	0.359
	Hardoi	14.42	63.60	0.220
	Hathras	16.20	61.52	0.223
	Jalaun	15.88	48.10	0.360
	Jaunpur	6.96	56.52	0.365
	Jhansi	14.29	38.46	0.473
	Jyotiba Phule Nagar	10.87	58.98	0.301
	Kannauj	13.85	61.19	0.250
	Kanpur Dehat	10.72	54.55	0.347
	Kanpur Nagar	9.27	52.90	0.378
	Kaushambi	11.66	64.01	0.243
	Kheri	15.66	59.30	0.250
	Kushinagar	14.05	56.91	0.290
	Lalitpur	19.51	56.44	0.241
	Lucknow	8.92	51.69	0.394
	Maharajganj	15.38	53.67	0.309
	Mahoba	18.81	52.06	0.291
	Mainpuri	14.62	60.20	0.252
	Mathura	17.04	57.17	0.258
	Mau	5.95	57.91	0.361
	Meerut	10.34	55.56	0.341
	Mirzapur	13.55	58.59	0.279
	Moradabad	10.89	64.42	0.247
	Muzaffarnagar	10.34	54.99	0.347
	Pilibhit	10.94	58.97	0.301
	Pratapgarh	8.19	55.88	0.359
	Rae bareli	9.79	61.21	0.290

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Rampur	11.08	67.06	0.219
	Saharanpur	7.27	52.21	0.405
	Sant Kabir Nagar	10.92	55.93	0.331
	Sant Ravidas Nagar	15.15	55.45	0.294
	Shahjahanpur	11.40	68.57	0.200
	Shrawasti	15.21	61.98	0.228
	Siddharthnagar	10.68	66.91	0.224
	Sitapur	11.95	63.05	0.250
	Sonbhadra	18.37	59.18	0.224
	Sultanpur	9.97	53.16	0.369
	Unnao	10.22	59.41	0.304
	Varanasi	12.59	48.25	0.392
Uttarakhand	Almora	3.78	29.21	0.670
	Bageshwa	6.77	29.35	0.639
	Chamoli	3.33	26.67	0.700
	Champawat	9.48	45.40	0.451
	Dehradun	8.95	43.68	0.474
	Garhwal	1.92	35.58	0.625
	Hardwar	8.24	51.79	0.400
	Nainital	4.97	39.13	0.559
	Pithoragarh	5.08	28.25	0.667
	Rudraprayag	2.85	30.25	0.669
	Tehri garhwal	3.63	34.27	0.621
	Udham Singh Nagar	8.99	38.85	0.522
	Uttarkashi	4.19	39.94	0.559
West Bengal	Bankura	25.24	22.01	0.528
	Barddhaman	27.53	21.25	0.512
	Birbhum	32.02	28.57	0.394
	Dakshin Dinajpur	31.77	24.55	0.437
	Darjiling	17.15	23.01	0.598
	Haora	15.03	25.17	0.598
	Hugli	21.72	14.34	0.639
	Jalpaiguri	18.33	32.48	0.492
	Koch Bihar	28.72	31.23	0.401
	Kolkata	14.56	24.68	0.608
	Maldah	25.37	42.29	0.323
	Murshidabad	31.91	34.15	0.339
	Nadia	27.39	21.58	0.510
	North Twenty Four Parganas	23.62	23.62	0.528
	Paschim Medinipur	33.22	22.37	0.444
	Purab Medinipur	20.62	20.06	0.593
	Puruliya	24.74	34.90	0.404
	South Twenty Four Parganas	22.38	28.67	0.490

State	District	Births to women aged <20 years (Per cent)	3rd and higher order births (Per cent)	Fertility Transition Index (FTI)
	Uttar Dinajpur	20.43	49.85	0.297